NON-LINEAR DEFORMATION OF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS

This document verifies that the non-linear deformation of plates is treated correctly in PLAXIS. An elastoplastic ' $M - \kappa$ ' material type is used and the deformation of a cantilever under various loading conditions is studied.

Used version:

PLAXIS 2D - Version 2015.02

Geometry: In PLAXIS structures cannot be used individually. A soil cluster is used to create the geometry. Note that the properties of the material assigned to the soil do not affect the results as the clusters will be deactivated in the calculation phases.

In PLAXIS 2D a plane strain model is used with 15-noded elements. An horizontal beam with length equal to 1 m is simulated. A *Point displacement* with fixed translations and rotation is used to clamp the beam at the left edge, while the right edge is free. A *Point load* is used to apply bending moment to the free end. Figure 1 illustrates the model geometry.




Figure 1 Model geometry in PLAXIS 2D

Materials: The *Elastoplastic* $M-\kappa$ material type is used, so the bending of the plate is defined in terms of a bending moment-curvature diagram. Table 1 presents the selected input values for the $M-\kappa$ diagram and Figure 2 depicts the diagram.

Table 1 Input values for the $M - \kappa$ diagram

M [kNm]	κ [1/m]
0	0.0
25	1.786×10 ⁻⁴
35	3.572×10 ⁻⁴
39	5.358×10 ⁻⁴
40	7.144×10 ⁻⁴

The rest of the selected plate material properties are:

Plate: Isotropic $EA = 14 \times 10^6 \text{ kN/m}$ W = 0 kN/m/m $\nu = 0$

Meshing: The *Fine* option is selected for the *Element distribution*, with a global *Coarseness factor* equal to 1.

Calculations: In the Initial phase, zero initial stresses are generated by using the K0 procedure ($\gamma = 0$). In the following phases, the *Calculation type* is set to *Plastic analysis* and the soil cluster is deactivated, while the plate, the point fixity and the point load are activated. A bending moment M, varying per calculation phase, is assigned to the point load. The horizontal and vertical force F is set to 0. In the *Model conditions*, the *Deformations* option is deactivated.

To enhance results accuracy in every calculation phase (excluding the Initial phase), the




Figure 2 $M - \kappa$ diagram

Tolerated error is set to 0.0001 and the Max load fraction per step equals 0.01.

In total 15 calculation phases are introduced after the Initial phase, which correspond to five 'load cases'. In the first two load cases, the plate is loaded to failure in both clockwise and anticlockwise directions. In the subsequent load cases various loading conditions are examined, in which the plate is loaded, unloaded and reloaded. Table 2 presents all the considered load cases in respect with the corresponding calculation phases. Note that some phases start from the Initial phase instead of the previous one and the options *Reset displacements to zero* and *Reset small strain* are activated. This is relevant for every phase at the beginning of a new load case, as presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Loading conditions

Load case	Phase	M [kNm/m]	Comment
1	1	-41	Loading to failure (clockwise)
2	2	+41	Loading to failure (anticlockwise)
	3	-25	Loading
3	4	0	Unloading
	5	-35	Reloading
	6	0	Unloading
	7	-41	Reloading to failure
	8	-25	Loading
4	9	+25	Reverse loading
	10	-35	Reloading
	11	+25	Reverse reloading
	12	-41	Reloading to failure
	13	-39.5	Loading
5	14	+39.5	Reverse loading
	15	-39.5	Reloading

Output: The vertical displacement at the free end of the beam is plot against the bending moment developed at the clamped edge. Figure 3 through 6 present the results of each load case. Note that, for the sake of comparison, load cases 1 and 2 are plot together in Figure 3.

Verification: Analyzing the simple bending of a beam, the vertical deformation u at the




Figure 3 Bending moment versus vertical displacement (load cases 1 and 2)




Figure 4 Bending moment versus vertical displacement (load case 3)




Figure 5 Bending moment versus vertical displacement (load case 4)

free end is calculated according to the small deformation theory:

$$M = EI\kappa = EI\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \tag{1}$$

in which flexural rigidity EI varies with the applied bending moment M, according to the




Figure 6 Bending moment versus vertical displacement (load case 5)

 $M - \kappa$ diagram.

Based on Eq. (1) and Table 1, curvature κ is calculated as:

$$\kappa_i = \kappa_{i-1}^{max} + \frac{M_i - M_{i-1}^{max}}{FI_i} \tag{2}$$

where the notation i refers to the corresponding branch of the $M-\kappa$ diagram, thus i=[1,4]. The elastic branch corresponds to i=1. Curvature κ_{i-1}^{max} and bending moment M_{i-1}^{max} refer to the maximum values reached at the previous branch (i-1) during loading or unloading.

The corresponding vertical displacement *u* is obtained as:

$$u = \int \int \kappa \, dx dx = \kappa \frac{L^2}{2} \tag{3}$$

Figure 3 presents the results of the analytical solution against PLAXIS results, for both load cases 1 and 2. It is concluded that they are in perfect agreement. As Table 1 indicates, failure occurs when M equals 40 kNm/m.

Figure 4 illustrates the results of the load case 3. After elastic loading to 25 kNm/m and unloading to 0 kNm/m, plastic behaviour is observed during the reloading when M exceeds 25 kNm/m (refer to Table 2). The second unloading remains elastic (El_1), leading to residual (plastic) deformation equal to 0.054 mm. The final reloading curve follows the $M-\kappa$ diagram (Figure 2), but is shifted by the earlier developed plastic deformation.

In Figure 5 the results of the load case 4 are plot. The difference between the previous load case is that instead of unloading to M=0 kNm/m, load is reversed and M reaches -25 kNm/m. The first reverse loading is elastic but the second leads to a plastic component when M exceeds -15 kNm/m. As specified in Table 1, the elastic loading/unloading branch applies for M less than 25 kNm/m. After reloading to 35 kNm/m, elastic reverse loading occurs till -15 kNm/m (35-25-25 = -15 kNm/m). The resulting plastic deformation for M=0 kNm/m equals the one in load case 3.

In Figure 6 the results of the load case 5 are presented. In this case a hysteresis loop is studied. The beam is loaded close to failure (39.5 kNm/m) and afterwards, equal bending

moment is applied in reverse direction. The unloading reveals accumulation of plastic deformation equal to 0.169 mm. The inclination of the reverse loading and reloading branches equals El_i , with i depending on the ratio M/κ .